GRANT PROPOSAL REVIEW

Abstract	Not			V	⁷ ery
1. The proposal idea is very clear in the abstract.	1	2	3	4	5
Explain a low score					
Explain a high score					
Description					
2. The proposal idea is very clear in the first part of the description.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The proposal need or significance is compelling.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The need statement uses statistics.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The need statement quotes an important expert or report.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The need statement uses an anecdote.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The need statement compares the local need to state or national information.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The plan for the solution or project is clear.	1	2	3	4	5
9. The plan for the solution or project seems workable.	1	2	3	4	5
10. The plan for the solution or project has data to show that it will be successful.	1	2	3	4	5
11. Information in the need statement and plan are referenced.	1	2	3	4	5
Explain a low score					
Explain a high score					

Work Plan	Not			V	'ery
12. The work plan is clear.	1	2	3	4	5
13. The work plan is reasonable.	1	2	3	4	5
14. Goals are clear.	1	2	3	4	5
15. Objectives are measurable.	1	2	3	4	5
16. Activities are clear.	1	2	3	4	5
17. It is clear who is responsible for what action.	1	2	3	4	5
18. There is a reasonable timeline.	1	2	3	4	5
19. Charts are used to make information easy to understand.	1	2	3	4	5
20. The project clearly makes an impact on an important audience.	1	2	3	4	5
21. Students and/or community participants are involved as appropriate.	1	2	3	4	5
Explain a low score					
Explain a high score					
Management Team					
22. The personnel and management team members are clearly described.	1	2	3	4	5
23. The personnel and management team members are qualified.	1	2	3	4	5
24. The organization has the resources to ensure success.	1	2	3	4	5
Explain a low score					
Explain a high score					

	Not	Very
Partners		-
25. Appropriate partners are present.	1	2 3 4 5
26. Partners are contributing value to the project.	1	2 3 4 5
27. Partnership commitments are valid.	1	2 3 4 5

Explain a low score_____

Explain a high score_____

Evaluation

28. The plan for evaluation is reasonable and clear.	1 2 3 4 5
29. Results are based on evidence, i.e. test scores, hiring, project submitted, etc.	1 2 3 4 5
30. It is clear how to determine the success of the project.	1 2 3 4 5
31. There is/are contingency plan(s).	1 2 3 4 5
32. The evaluator(s) are qualified.	1 2 3 4 5
33. The cost for evaluation is reasonable.	1 2 3 4 5
34. There is a plan to measure quantitative data.	1 2 3 4 5
35. There is a plan to measure qualitative data.	1 2 3 4 5
36. There is a plan for formative evaluation and changes based on it.	1 2 3 4 5
37. There is a plan for summative evaluation.	1 2 3 4 5
38. It is clear who is performing what part of the evaluation.	1 2 3 4 5

Explain a low score_____

Explain a high score_____

	Not			V	/ery
Dissemination					·
39. Dissemination methods and costs are reasonable.	1	2	3	4	5
40. Dissemination is clear.	1	2	3	4	5
41. Multiple methods of dissemination are used.	1	2	3	4	5
42. It is clear that the project results will reach the people who can benefit from it.	1	2	3	4	5
43. It is clear who funded the project.	1	2	3	4	5
Explain a low score					
Explain a high score					
Sustainment					
44. The project will be sustained byafter this grant.	1	2	3	4	5
45. The project will not be sustained after this grant because it is not applicable.	1	2	3	4	5
Explain a low score					
Explain a high score					

	Not		Very			
Budget						
46. The budget numbers are correct.	1	2	3	4	5	
47. The budget is reasonable and clear.	1	2	3	4	5	
48. The budget items are explained adequately in the narrative.	1	2	3	4	5	
49. The budget is portrayed in a budget form.	1	2	3	4	5	
50. There is a clear budget narrative for each item.	1	2	3	4	5	
51. There is a visual portrayal of the budget.	1	2	3	4	5	
52. There is a narrative budget summary.	1	2	3	4	5	
Explain a low score						

Explain a high score_____